UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

JOHN BARNHARDT PLAINTIFF

v. CIVIL ACTION NO: 4:65cv1300

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

INTERVENOR PLAINTIFF

MERIDIAN MUNICIPAL SEPARATE SCHOOL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT

TRANSCRIPT OF TELECONFERENCE

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2021

BEFORE THE HONORABLE HENRY T. WINGATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

COURT REPORTER:

Fred W. Jeske, RMR, CRR 701 North Main Street, Suite 228 Hattiesburg, Mississippi 39401 (601) 255-6432 fred_jeske@mssd.uscourts.gov

ĺ	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFF BARNHARDT and PRIVATE PLAINTIFFS:
3	
4	JOHN SPENCER CUSICK, ESQUIRE NATASHA MERLE, ESQUIRE KRISTEN ADRINA JOHNSON, ESQUIRE
5	NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Inc New York
6	40 Rector Street, 5th Floor
7	New York, NY 10006-1738 212-965-2200
8	<pre>jcusick@naacpldf.org nmerle@naacpldf.org</pre>
9	kjohnson@naacpldf.org
10	REPRESENTING INTERVENOR PLAINTIFF:
11	MITZI DEASE PAIGE, ESQUIRE
12	U.S. Attorney's Office 501 East Court Street
13	Suite 4.430 Jackson, MS 39201
14	601-973-2840 mitzi.paige@usdoj.gov
15	ARIA VAUGHAN, ESQUIRE
16	U. S. Department of Justice-CRT 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
17	4CON, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530
18	202-598-9629 aria.vaughan@usdoj.gov
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ĺ	
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT:
3	JOHN G. COMPTON, ESQUIRE
4	Witherspoon & Compton, LLC P. O. Box 845 (39202)
5	1100 23rd Avenue Meridian, MS 39301 601-693-6466
6	jcompton@witherspooncompton.com
7	JOHN SIMEON HOOKS, ESQUIRE
8	Adams and Reese, LLP - Ridgeland 1018 Highland Colony Parkway
9	Suite 800 Ridgeland, MS 39157
LO	601-353-3234 john.hooks@arlaw.com
L1	
L2	-000-
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
_9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: This Terri Barnes, Judge Wingate's courtroom deputy. Who is on the line? 3 MS. MERLE: Natasha Merle for the plaintiffs is on the 4 line. 5 THE CLERK: Good morning. 6 7 MS. MERLE: Good morning. MS. JOHNSON: This is Kristen Johnson on behalf of 8 9 private plaintiff. 10 THE CLERK: I'm sorry, can you repeat yourself? 11 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, sorry. Good morning. This is 12 Kristen Johnson on behalf of the private plaintiff. 13 THE CLERK: Thank you. 14 MR. COMPTON: Good morning. This is John Compton on 15 behalf of the School District. 16 MS. PAIGE: Good morning. This is Mitzi Dease Paige 17 from the U.S. Attorney's office, the intervenor. 18 MS. FORTNER: Good morning. Janet Fortner, Meridian 19 Public School District, director. 20 MR. GERMANY: Good morning. LaVonda Germany with Meridian Public School District. 21 22 MS. CARTER: Good morning. Amy Carter, superintendent, Meridian Public School District. 23 THE CLERK: Is there anyone else on behalf of the 24 25 School District?

```
1
          I believe that's it.
 2
              MS. VAUGHAN: Okay. Good morning. This is Aria
     Vaughan on behalf of the United States.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: This is Judge Wingate.
          Good morning, Fred.
 5
 6
              THE REPORTER: Good morning, Judge.
 7
              THE COURT: How are you today?
 8
              THE REPORTER: I'm good, Judge. How are you?
              THE COURT: Okay. Doing great.
 9
10
          What weather you having down there, Fred?
11
              THE REPORTER: Probably the same as you, Judge.
12
              THE COURT: Not bad, Fred.
13
          Now, who else do we have on the line? Anybody?
14
              MR. COMPTON: John Compton, attorney for Meridian
15
     Public School District.
16
              THE COURT: John, good morning.
17
              MR. HOOKS: John Hooks, also for the Meridian Public
18
     Schools.
19
              THE COURT: Okay.
20
              MS. CARTER: Amy Carter, Meridian Public Schools.
21
              THE COURT: All right.
22
              MS. FORTNER: Janet Fortner, Meridian Public Schools.
23
              THE COURT: Good morning.
24
              MS. GERMANY: LaVonda Germany with Meridian Public
     Schools.
25
```

```
1
              MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, this is Kristen Johnson on
 2
     behalf of the private plaintiff.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
 4
          Do I have anyone else on the line?
              MS. MERLE: Yes, Your Honor. We also have Natasha
 5
 6
     Merle and John Cusick on behalf of the private plaintiff.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Anybody else?
 8
              MS. VAUGHAN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Aria
     Vaughan on behalf of the United States.
 9
10
              MS. PAIGE: And good morning, Your Honor, Mitzi Dease
11
     Paige on behalf of private plaintiffs as well.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Anybody else?
13
          All right. Then I hear no additional identifications.
14
          So who is going to speak on behalf of the plaintiff?
15
              MS. JOHNSON: That will be me, Your Honor, Kristen
16
     Johnson.
17
              THE COURT: All right. One second.
18
          And are you in Tennessee right now?
19
              MS. JOHNSON: No, I'm in --
20
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. You're where?
              MS. JOHNSON: New York.
21
22
              THE COURT: New York. All right.
          And who is there with you?
23
24
              MS. JOHNSON: My co-counsel, Natasha Merle and John
25
     Cusick.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                           Okay. Natasha Merle and?
              MS. JOHNSON: John Cusick.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: John Cusick?
              MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. And who will be speaking on behalf
 5
     of Meridian?
 6
 7
              MR. HOOKS: Good morning, Your Honor. This is John
 8
     Hooks from Adams and Reese and we're pleased to represent the
 9
     School District. John Compton is also on the line, who is
10
     their local school board attorney.
11
              THE COURT: All right.
12
          Ms. Johnson.
13
              MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the motions report,
15
     the outstanding motions?
16
              MS. JOHNSON: I don't have a copy of the motions
17
     report, but I think I have some recollection of what's still
18
     outstanding, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, could you give me motions --
20
     or what motions you think are outstanding?
21
              MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, there's --
22
              THE COURT: And give me -- and give me not only the
     main motion but if you will give me the docket number, okay?
23
24
              MS. JOHNSON: Sure, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
```

1 MS. JOHNSON: We have docket entry 53. That's the 2 district's motion for delaying of unitary status. 3 THE COURT: You say 52? MS. JOHNSON: 53. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 5 6 MS. JOHNSON: And docket entry 158. That is the joint 7 motion for settlement agreement. THE COURT: 158? 8 9 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. JOHNSON: And I will say, Your Honor, at the last 12 conference we did discuss some other motions that I think, for 13 example, docket entry number 140. 14 THE COURT: 140. 15 MS. JOHNSON: That was I think the motion to deem 16 unitary status as confessed. And I believe at the last status 17 conference that was -- I think the district mentioned they 18 would withdraw that, but my recollection may not be correct. 19 And I think the same with docket entry number 150, which 20 was a supplemental motion for an extension of time to complete discovery. And I think the United States mentioned they would 21 22 withdraw that. There was some discussion on that. But that's what I have 23 24 on my list, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So you have named 153 -- not

25

```
1
     153, but just 53; correct?
 2
              MS. JOHNSON: 53, um-hum, correct.
              THE COURT: All right. 53, 158, 140 and 150 as
 3
     possibilities; correct?
 4
              MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Yes, that's what I have on my
 5
 6
     list, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me turn to the defense, and
     tell me which ones you think are outstanding.
 8
 9
              MR. HOOKS: Your Honor, I agree with that assessment,
10
     and I also agree that with regard to the motion that is 140,
11
     the motion to deem the unitary status motion as admitted or
12
     confessed, we did agree, the School District agreed, to
13
     withdraw that motion, and I believe the extension for discovery
14
     motion also was determined at the last call to be no longer
15
     necessary.
16
              THE REPORTER: Who was speaking there?
17
              MR. HOOKS: John Hooks from Adams and Reese on behalf
18
     of the school district.
19
              THE REPORTER: Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: All right. And so then 150 is not
     outstanding anymore; correct? Mr. Hooks? Hello?
21
              MR. HOOKS: Your Honor, this is John Hooks again.
22
     150, was that the extension of discovery motion?
23
24
              THE COURT: I was just looking at it, and it looks
     like that's what it is. Let me read it. It says 150,
25
```

```
1
     supplemental motion for extension of time to complete
 2
     discovery.
              MR. HOOKS: Yes, sir. My understanding, that's no
 3
 4
     longer necessary.
              THE COURT: Okay. So 150 and 140 are not before the
 5
     court anymore. Do you agree with that?
 6
 7
              MR. HOOKS: Your Honor, this is John Hooks. I agree
     with that.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. And what you're saying, though, is
 9
     docket numbers 53 and 158 are still before the court; is that
10
11
     correct?
12
              MR. HOOKS: That is correct, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Just one moment.
14
          Meanwhile, let me go back to Ms. Johnson.
15
          So do you agree, then, that 53 and 158 are the only two
16
     that are before the court now?
17
              MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there anyone who disagrees
18
19
     with that?
20
          All right. I hear no naysayers. So it's 53 and 158.
21
          Just a moment.
22
          53.
              THE CLERK: No. 53 is motion for declaration of
23
24
     unitary status.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. And that was Terri reading that,
```

```
1
     Fred.
 2
              THE REPORTER:
                             Thank you, Judge.
              THE COURT: Read that again.
 3
              THE CLERK: Docket No. 53 is the declaration of
 4
     unitary status by Meridian Municipal Separate School District.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. And now read off to me Docket
 7
     No. 158.
          158.
 8
 9
              THE CLERK: Docket No. 158 is a joint motion for
10
     preliminary approval of settlement agreement and authorization
11
     of notice to the plaintiff class.
              THE COURT: Okay. Can you understand me okay, then?
12
13
     158 is, quote, a joint motion for settlement agreement and
14
     authorization of notice to the plaintiff class pursuant to Rule
15
     23(E) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
16
          Did you get that, Fred?
17
              THE REPORTER: Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18
19
          Now let me turn back to the plaintiff.
20
          Ms. Johnson, let's pick up 53 first.
          What is there outstanding on 53 that needs to be done at
21
     this point, inasmuch as all of the other motions have been
22
     dealt with?
23
24
              MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson again for the plaintiff.
          Your Honor, 53 is the district's motion for unitary
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

status, and I will say that the -- you know, it's technically connected to the other outstanding motions, which is a joint settlement. By that I mean once a joint settlement is put in place, that motion for unitary status won't be at play anymore, and so there is nothing outstanding to do with Motion 53 outside of getting the settlement agreement in place, which is the subject matter of the outstanding motion under 158.

THE COURT: Then let's turn to 158, then. And so then assuming the court signs off on 53, then what will be outstanding on 158?

MS. JOHNSON: Well, Your Honor, 158 reads -- what it's setting out there is the standing motion and the timeline and the timeline for the notice to the class. We discussed that a bit at the last status conference, and the parties have not yet agreed on a date, but I think that's the next step with respect to Motion 158.

THE COURT: All right. I did some work on this from the last time that we had a conversation, and if I rolled up some matters that are concerned with, and I need to go back to my notes and see exactly what I had determined, but I had looked back through some of the proceedings and some of the exhibits on this, and I didn't have time this morning due to some other obligations to finish that before the call, and I will do that as soon as I get off the line. What is for this hearing, assuming I don't have any other questions as to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fairness hearing, which is supposed to be held at a time convenient for all of the parties, and then after appropriate motions.

Ms. Johnson, if we go to that point, how much time do you think you'll have to have before we conduct that fairness hearing from this point on, if you start working with the report?

MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson for the plaintiff.

For the record, Your Honor, is your question how much time we need or when is the time we give notice or --

THE COURT: I'm asking about the entire process.

MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Again, Your Honor, the parties haven't been able to confirm yet, but I know that for the private plaintiffs, we would be available as soon as mid February for a status hearing, and that would require notice to go out at the beginning of January.

THE COURT: Now, to the other parties, would you contemplate an in-person hearing or the ability to conduct this by telephone?

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I think -- I believe we discussed this at the last status conference, that the private plaintiffs were definitely open to doing this virtually or by telephone, whatever -- whatever virtual option is available to the court, given the still unclear status of the pandemic and what that might mean in the months ahead. So private

1

plaintiffs are definitely open to a virtual proceeding.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: Okay. At the last session or two we have to give account of the threat of the virus and make some accommodation as to what the schedule might be. So at this juncture, will you be available for a virtual?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And if I can provide you some dates, would you be able then to look at those dates to see which ones will be better for your schedule?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And let's go to the other side. Mr. Hooks.

MR. HOOKS: Your Honor, the School District agrees with everything Ms. Johnson just said in terms of the handling of it virtually, and our availability also. We are very much agreeable to mid to late February for sure, and we would be happy to look at dates before then or after.

This is John Hooks, if I didn't say that for the court reporter.

And we would be pleased to look at, you know, any of these options. So whatever we can do, Your Honor, to be in a position to help move this long, we're happy to do that.

THE COURT: Okay. So then those are matters that seem to be on our plate at this point, that is, my looking at the notes, that I actually looked at something that I intended to,

just to be sure, and then go set up a virtual conference seems to be the only matters that I need to discuss at this point.

So is there anything else that we need to take up at this time?

MS. VAUGHAN: Your Honor, if I may.

Who is this? THE COURT: Yes.

MS. VAUGHAN: This is Aria Vaughan on behalf of the United States.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VAUGHAN: And it sounds like we all agree that the hearing should be virtual, and that works for the United States as well because we are still under travel limitations because of the pandemic.

THE COURT: Yes, the last time we talked you were under those restrictions.

MS. VAUGHAN: Um-hum. Yes. And they've continued.

So we don't know when -- when they will be lifted, and so it's prudent to proceed with a plan for a virtual hearing.

The one thing that I will note is that while the hearing is to focus on the private plaintiff's and defendant's settlement agreement and fairness hearing for that agreement, the United States also believes that the court should take some time after it determines whether to approve that settlement to determine whether the district is unitary by analyzing each of the Green factors.

At this point the United States is not planning to object to the district's motion, so the private plaintiffs are correct in that should the court approve the settlement, it appears that the motion would be unopposed, but the court should consider also, you know, making findings as to the Green factors on the record at that time in order to determine that the School District is unitary.

THE COURT: Yes. You're correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that inasmuch as that describes this court's understanding since the last time we talked. As I mentioned earlier, I went back through these exhibits to look at some matters on some questions I had. And I wrote up some responses to my questions. And so I will share those with you all later.

So is there anything else you want to inform me of?

MS. VAUGHAN: Nothing for the United States.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?

MS. PAIGE: Your Honor, this is Ms. Mitzi Dease Paige. If I might say one thing.

THE COURT: Ms. Paige.

MS. VAUGHAN: If at all possible, Your Honor, it might be easier if we, when talking about the options, to explore a Zoom call so that the court reporter would be able to see who is speaking and might just be a little bit easier to facilitate that part of the hearing, if we did it virtually via some kind of Webex or Zoom or whatever the court's preference would be,

1 as opposed to a telephonic call like this one.

THE COURT: I agree with you. It's more convenient for the court as I'm sure for the court reporter.

The problem that I encountered last time is getting everybody in on the Zoom call. I have to talk to my IT people to see where we are at this point. Because I know at one time when I talked about addressing the possibility of it being a Zoom, I was told that the limitations are the number of people who could actually participate.

That might have changed now. But the last time I inquired, that's what the response was.

MS. PAIGE: If I might say, I've been on several Zoom calls in another case that I had with the Civil Rights

Division, and the county, Hinds County, and so we have done that. It has worked well. The court has also provided a call for the public, a telephone call number for the public to listen in on. So I think they may have worked out those kinks. And we've had very few issues with utilizing that method.

THE COURT: Thank you for telling me that. Because I have not checked back with my IT people since last time we talked about whether they have knowledge of that. So thanks for telling me, and I will get with my IT people immediately and handle it in that respect.

Anything else, Ms. Paige?

MS. PAIGE: No, Your Honor, that's it.

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
 2
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not for the plaintiff, Your
 3
     Honor, no, sir.
              THE COURT: Okay. And what about Ms. Johnson,
 4
     anything else?
 5
 6
              MS. JOHNSON: Nothing else, Your Honor. Thank you.
 7
              THE COURT: Anything else from any of the other
 8
     persons on the line?
          All right. Hearing no one addressing those other matters,
 9
10
     then I conclude the telephone call. And I thank all of you for
11
     being available.
12
          And now that the intention on the virus seems to back down
13
     some, maybe we can show up personally, and I will be in contact
14
     with y'all.
15
          All right. Thank y'all so much.
16
              MR. HOOKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: And I will communicate by written
18
     communication for the next date.
19
          All right. Thank you very much. I'm signing off.
20
              COUNSEL IN UNISON: Thank you, Your Honor.
          (Proceedings concluded at 9:58 a.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Fred W. Jeske, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

s/Fred W. Jeske

FRED W. JESKE, RMR, CRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER